# **Regional Network Management (RNM)**

RECOMMENDED REGIONAL NETWORK MANAGEMENT (RNM) SHORT/NEAR-TERM FRAMEWORK Transit Agency Board Meetings January 2023

### Background





**Network Management Evaluation** The Task Force requested that an independent assessment of network management alternatives be completed prior to the sunset of the Task Force to serve as the foundation for a more in-depth business case evaluation of these alternatives.

#### Network Management Business Case Evaluation Project

In May 2020, MTC created a 32member **Blue Ribbon Transit Recovery Task Force** ("Task Force") to support MTC in the development of a regional response to address the adverse impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on transit systems in the Bay Area In July 2021, the Task Force approved 27 specific near-term actions to reshape the region's transit system into a more connected, more efficient, and more user-focused mobility network across the entire Bay Area which formed the **Bay Area Transit Transformation Action Plan**  As a part of this plan, the Task Force requested that a study be completed to select a preferred alternative structure(s) for **Regional Network Management (RNM)** and recommend next steps to achieve implementation Accordingly, MTC established a Network Management Business Case Evaluation project to assess and recommend a preferred regional network management framework to achieve near-term and longer-range transit mobility goals

### **Network Management Business Case Advisory Group**

# Purpose

- Established in September 2021 to guide and review the Business Case analysis and recommendations
- Business Case Evaluation: Assess and recommend a preferred regional network management framework to achieve near-term and longer-range transit mobility goals

# Convenings

- 14 Advisory Group Members
- January December 2022
- Met 8 times

# Composition

#### 7 Transit Agency Representatives

Denis Mulligan (Chair), GGBHTD Michelle Bouchard, Caltrain Bill Churchill, County Connection Carolyn Gonot, VTA Michael Hursh, AC Transit Bob Powers, BART Jeff Tumlin, SFMTA

#### 7 Stakeholder Representatives

Alicia John-Baptiste (Vice-Chair), SPUR Christine Fitzgerald, SVILC Adina Levin, MTC Policy Advisory Council James Lindsay, ATU Therese McMillan, MTC Suzanne Smith, SCTA Jim Wunderman, Bay Area Council

### **Business Case Evaluation Approach**

**Establish** 

Operating

Model

Concept

Refine Project Focus

work product

• Define 6 areas

• Align on outputs

1

 Review previous Outline and describe operating model elements

- Develop data request and categorize returns
- Develop template and undertake initial analysis and evaluation
- Evaluate current state of process, roles, governance, etc. for 6 areas

3

Assess

Current

State

- Document findings and convert into usable format for gap analysis and recommendations
- Highlight specific areas where operating model shifts could be beneficial in the future state

4

Define

Operating

Model

**Shifts** 

 Identify interdependencies and risks across the areas that require further consideration or mitigation

 Reconcile findings across 6 areas and incorporate remaining functional areas

5

Preferred

RNM

Framework/

**Next Steps** 

We are here

 Recommend preferred RNM **Framework and** provide a set of actionable next steps

### **Proposed Regional Network Management (RNM) Statements**

#### Proposed Mission Statement ("Core Purpose")

To drive transformative improvements in the customer experience for regional Bay Area transit

#### Proposed Vision Statement ("Why")

To advance regional goals in equity, livability, climate, and resiliency through a unified regional transit system that serves all Bay Area populations

### The Business Case Explored What Benefits Could Come from a Regional Approach

Across an array of transit operator roles and activities, the business case asked, will regionalizing these activities improve customer experience and/or unlock efficiencies and be feasible?

#### **1** Improve the customer experience

#### Such as:

- Reduce travel times
- Improve equity
- Simplify the user interface
- Enhance accessibility
- Increase affordability

#### **2** Unlock efficiencies

#### and Such as:

/ or

- Enable sharing of costs
  - Generate economies of scale
  - Reduce time spent on coordination activities
  - Reduce duplicative efforts / activities
  - Enable / attract funding

#### 3 Be feasible

#### Such as:

and

- Is not cost prohibitive
- Within achievable legal / regulatory limitations
- Agency has path to authority, where required
- Is operationally possible

### The proposed RNM structure will need three key elements

Regional Visioning Element **MTC RNM Committee:** Leverage existing regional purview and planning capabilities to help set the regional vision for transit in the Bay Area and drive the direction of the RNM

"Voice of the Customer" Advisory Committee: Group of stakeholders who represent the customer and can help inform decision-making with the customer in mind

**Steering Element** 


**RNM Council:** Council comprised GM-level Operator and MTC representatives who understand transit operations and can represent the interests of their stakeholders, make critical decisions on regional polices, and provide leadership

#### Administrative / Operational Element

**Dedicated RNM Support Staff:** Group of dedicated staff (potential opportunity for seconded staff as well) with a broad range of capabilities and expertise to support the operations and analysis of the RNM

**Task Forces & Sub-Committees:** Temporary (Task Forces) or longer-term (Sub-Committees) groups comprised a broad range of representatives, including Operators, stakeholders, and subject matter experts, that will help complete analysis and develop policy recommendations / options for topics

### **Recommended Short / Near-Term RNM**



#### ✓ Customer Focused:

- Enables highly inclusive decision making to bring a broad range of perspectives
- Multiple engagement points for the "Voice of the Customer" to prioritize customers in decision making


#### ✓ Structured for Scale:

- Team of Dedicated Support Staff can grow over time to provide needed capacity to Operators
- Joint teams, with potential opportunities for seconded staff, enable high quality proposals to reach the Council, driving effective use of GM time
- Task Forces and Sub-Committees can be added or subtracted as regional priorities shift


#### ✓ Balances Short-Term Momentum with Long-Term Transformation:

- Allows RNM to be stood up quickly to begin working on priority items, but also allows continuous evolution
- Seeks to drive cost and time effectiveness
- Feasible within current legislative constraints


### **MTC RNM Committee**



### **Engagement Points for the Voice of the Customer**




### How the Operating Model will Drive Long-Term Evolution of the RNM



Note: Illustrative

### **Key Milestones & Next Steps**



### **MTC CONTACT:**

### Therese McMillan

Executive Director Metropolitan Transportation Commission <u>tmcmillan@bayareametro.gov</u>

### Alix Bockelman

Deputy Executive Director, Policy abockelman@bayareametro.gov