

BAY AREA RAPID TRANSIT DISTRICT

# **MONTHLY REPORT**

July 2022

Issue date: August 8, 2022

This report is filed pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-05 (B), which requires the Office of the Independent Police Auditor (OIPA) to submit reports to the BART Police Citizen Review Board (BPCRB). This report provides information for the period July 1, 2022 through July 31, 2022.<sup>1</sup> (The Quantitative Report includes all complaints received and administrative investigations initiated by both OIPA and the BART Police Department (BPD) Internal Affairs Bureau (IA)).

	Cases Filed <sup>2</sup>	Open Cases <sup>3</sup>	Investigations Resolved	OIPA Investigations Concluded <sup>4</sup>	Cases Appealed to OIPA <sup>5</sup>	Cases Appealed by BPCRB <sup>6</sup>
July 2021	10	81	3	0	0	0
August 2021	4	78	7	1	0	0
September 2021	10	81	8	2	0	0
October 2021	15	88	7	0	0	0
November 2021	8	87	11	1	0	0
December 2021	6	87	6	0	1	0
January 2022	4	84	7	1	0	0
February 2022	6	81	9	1	0	0
March 2022	6	73	14	1	0	0
April 2022	10	79	6	1	0	0
May 2022	14	86	6	1	0	0
June 2022	8	87	7	1	0	0
July 2022	10	91	5	0	0	0

## QUANTITATIVE REPORT

## **TYPES OF CASES FILED**

Citizen Complaints (Formal)	10
Informal Complaints <sup>7</sup>	0
Administrative Investigations	0
Inquiries <sup>8</sup>	0
TOTAL	10

## CITIZEN COMPLAINTS RECEIVED PER DEPARTMENT<sup>9</sup>

OIPA	3
BART Police Department	7
TOTAL	10

# COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS INITIATED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

Complaint # (OIPA Case #) (IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (OIPA #22-27) (IA2022-050)	Officers #1-3: • Force • Arrest/Detention • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer Officers #2-3: • Policy/Procedure (Documentation)	OIPA notified BPD which initiated an investigation.	33
2 (OIPA #22-28) (IA2022-055)	Officer #1: • Truthfulness	OIPA notified BPD which initiated an investigation.	21
3 (OIPA #22-29) (IA2022-056)	Officers #1-4: • Policy/Procedure	OIPA notified BPD which initiated an investigation.	9

During July 2022, 3 Citizen Complaints were received by OIPA:

During July 2022, 5 Citizen Complaints (Formal) were received by BPD:

Complaint # IA Case #	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2022-049)	Officers #1-2: • Performance of Duty • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer • Policy/Procedure (AXON Camera)	BPD initiated an investigation.	37
2 (IA2022-051)	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer • Performance of Duty	BPD initiated an investigation.	38
3 (IA2022-052)	Officer #1: • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer • Performance of Duty	BPD initiated an investigation.	26
4 (IA2022-053)	Officers #1-6: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	34
5 (IA2022-054)	Officer #1: • Courtesy	BPD initiated an investigation.	18

## COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS RECEIVED DURING PRIOR REPORTING PERIOD

During June 2022, **2 Citizen Complaints (Formal)** were received by BPD but not previously reported:

Complaint # IA Case #	Nature of Complaint	Action Taken	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed
1 (IA2022-047)	Officers #1-4: • Force	BPD initiated an investigation.	39
2 (IA2022-048)	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer	BPD initiated an investigation.	46

## COMPLAINTS/INVESTIGATIONS CONCLUDED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

(IA Case #)	Nature of Complaint	Disposition	Days Elapsed Since Complaint Filed	Days Taken to Complete Investigation
1 (IA2021-056)	Officers used excessive force during a detention.	Officer #1: • Force – Exonerated	378	344
2 (IA2021-057)	One employee targeted subject because of subject's race and sexual orientation and the employee was rude to the complainant.	Employee #1: • Bias-Based Policing – Unfounded • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Unfounded	376	349
3 (IA2021-058)	Officer contacted complainant based on complainant's race, officers used excessive force during the detention, one officer's report did not accurately reflect officer's actions, and one supervisor failed to properly address complaints of misconduct.	Officers #1-3: • Force – Exonerated Officer#1: • Performance of Duty – Not Sustained Officer #2: • Bias-Based Policing – Unfounded Officer #3: • Performance of Duty – Sustained	371	339
4 (IA2021-061)	Officer contacted and harassed complainant based on complainant's race.	Officer #1: • Bias-Based Policing – Unfounded • Conduct Unbecoming an Officer – Not Sustained	354	339

During July 2022, 5 Citizen Complaints were concluded by BPD:

#### DISCIPLINE ISSUED DURING REPORTING PERIOD

During July 2022, BPD took the following actions in cases where one or more allegations of misconduct were sustained:

Case #	Nature of Sustained Allegation(s) *	Classification of Sustained Allegation(s)	Action Taken
1	Supervisor did not properly address a misconduct complaint.	Officer #1: • Performance of Duty	Officer #1: • Oral Counseling <sup>10</sup>

#### ADDITIONAL NOTES

In accordance with the BART Citizen Oversight Model (Model), OIPA investigates certain complaints, conducts complainant-initiated appeals, and monitors and/or reviews complaint investigations conducted by BPD. Though potentially work-intensive, some complaint investigation reviews are completed informally, with any concerns being addressed through a conference with BPD's Internal Affairs investigators. Noting the various kinds of work that OIPA undertakes with regard to complaints and investigations, the following chart includes some of the pending cases in which OIPA is involved as of the end of this reporting period.

Investigations Being Conducted	7
Complainant-Initiated Appeals	0
BPD-Initiated Appeals	0
Investigations Being Monitored	75
Investigations Reviewed During Current Month	12†

<sup>†</sup>This number does not include all OIPA reviews, as OIPA commonly looks at a variety of cases in the Internal Affairs database to obtain updates on both pending and completed investigations.

The Model provides that OIPA shall have authority to require follow-up investigation into any citizen complaint or allegation that is handled by BPD.<sup>11</sup> The OIPA Monthly Report will reflect information regarding monitored cases with detail not to exceed that which is allowable under state law. The investigations reviewed by OIPA during the period did not generate any notable recommendations for revisions or additional investigation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In addition to reporting on complaints received by the BART Police Department, the Citizen Oversight Model requires reporting on all complaints received by the "Citizen Board, Office of the District Secretary, and other District departments."

<sup>\*</sup>Some details regarding the nature of sustained allegations may be withheld to avoid unintentionally breaching mandatory confidentiality requirements. In some instances, the relative infrequency of the alleged misconduct may tend to allow for identification of the subject officer in violation of the applicable CA Penal Code section (832.7).

As complaints received by the BART Police Citizen Review Board are customarily directed to OIPA for further action, such complaints are included in the Quantitative Report above; OIPA is also made aware of additional complaints about the BART Police Department by the Office of the District Secretary or other District departments.

<sup>2</sup> This number includes all Citizen Complaints filed against members of the BART Police Department, as well as Administrative Investigations generated internally by BART Police Department members (as opposed to being filed by a citizen). This number also includes previously completed cases that have been re-opened during the current reporting period.

<sup>3</sup> This number indicates all investigations that are open as of the end of the reporting period. It includes Citizen Complaints (regardless of whether the investigation is being conducted by OIPA, the BART Police Department, or both) and Administrative Investigations.

<sup>4</sup> This number includes all cases completed by OIPA during the reporting period for which OIPA's findings are required by the BART Citizen Oversight Model to be submitted to the BART Police Citizen Review Board. It therefore includes independent investigations, as well as reviews of completed BART Police Department investigations initiated via appeal from a complainant. Unless otherwise noted, it does not include reviews of BART Police Department investigations initiated at the discretion of OIPA, which happen commonly and do not always generate a formal report; it also does not include reviews conducted by OIPA of complaint investigations where the complaint was filed with OIPA but did not fall under OIPA's investigative jurisdiction.

<sup>5</sup> This number refers to appeals filed with OIPA by complainants who have been issued the findings of the BART Police Department's internal investigation into their complaint regarding on-duty incidents. OIPA has a responsibility to review such appeals pursuant to the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (E).

<sup>6</sup> This number refers to all appeals initiated by the BART Police Citizen Review Board after receiving and reviewing the findings issued by OIPA in a given case. The routes of all such appeals are described in detail in the BART Citizen Oversight Model, Chapter 1-04 (B) (iv-v).

<sup>7</sup> The BART Police Department defines an Informal Complaint as, "A comment on the actions of a Department employee, where the reporting party expressly states that he or she does not feel that the matter should be formally investigated with the understanding that an Informal Complaint does not hold the potential to result in disciplinary action against the employee." (BART Police Department Policy Manual, Policy 1020.1.1(d)).

<sup>8</sup> BPD policy provides that if a person alleges or raises an issue that does not constitute a violation of Department policy, procedure, rules, regulations, or the law, the Department will classify the issue as an inquiry.

<sup>9</sup> It is important to note that OIPA does not separate citizen complaints it receives into "Formal" and "Informal" classifications. This chart reflects all citizen complaints received by OIPA and all Formal Complaints received by the BART Police Department.

<sup>10</sup> Oral Counseling (third level of pre-discipline): An oral counseling may be the next step of the informal process. It is documented in a memorandum to the employee entitled "Oral Counseling." Prior to issuance, the supervisor should discuss the performance or infraction in detail with the employee. The purpose of the discussion is for the employee to be made aware of the unacceptable behavior. An employee who is covered by a collective bargaining agreement and who may be issued an Oral Counseling is entitled to appropriate association representation. An Oral Counseling is pre-disciplinary, however, if the employee fails to correct the behavior, there will be cause to move to progressive discipline.

<sup>11</sup> OIPA may submit recommendations to IA regarding minor clerical or record-keeping adjustments which are intended to maintain the integrity of the data collection and record-keeping processes at BPD. These are not considered by OIPA to be substantive recommendations requiring reporting herein.