

EXECUTIVE DECISION DOCUMENT

GENERAL MANAGER APPROVAL: Docusigned by: Michael Johns 47000790F2D7463		GENERAL MANAGER ACTION REQ'D:		
DATE: 12/23/2025 12/29/2025		BOARD INITIATED ITEM: Yes		
Originator/Prepared by: Michaela	General Counsel	Chief Financial Officer	District Secretary	BARC
Morales Dept: Office of the General Gounsel Minale Appendix Appendix Signature/Date: 12/23/2025	DocuSigned by: Jeana Belan	Signed by: Joseph Beach 7D9A7C6E7348456 12/23/2025	Docusigned by: Robert Franklin AFF4529E1F0D45C 12/29/2025	Docusigned by: Pamela Herhed 3BB24D65B8724F5 12/26/2025 []

Amendment to Agreement with Ogletree Deakins for Increase in Funding for Legal Services

PURPOSE:

To seek authorization to add \$235,000 of funding to a legal services agreement with Ogletree Deakins for representation in Scherer v. BART for a total not-to-exceed amount of \$334,000.

DISCUSSION:

The District's Office of the General Counsel entered into a legal services agreement in 2025 with the law firm Ogletree Deakins to represent the District in the case of Scherer v. BART for a not-to-exceed amount of \$99,000. The District's motion for summary judgment was recently denied by the trial court. The District has filed a petition for writ of mandate with the appellate court seeking review of the denial. If the appellate court does not grant the writ, the District will proceed to trial, which is currently set for March 16, 2026. The estimated additional cost to litigate this case through trial is \$235,000. Therefore, the Office of the General Counsel is seeking approval to increase the not-to-exceed amount for this Agreement by \$235,000 to \$334,000.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The additional funding requested is \$235,000 and will be paid out of the Office of the General Counsel's existing FY26 budget (Cost Center 0201121, Account 681352). There is

Amendment to Agreement with Ogletree Deakins for Increase in Funding for Legal Services (cont.)

no anticipated impact on unprogrammed District reserves.

ALTERNATIVES:

Without an increase in funding, the General Counsel would need to terminate its agreement Ogletree Deakins and seek alternate representation for this matter, which would not be feasible at this stage of the litigation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt the following motion.

MOTION:

The General Counsel or her designee is authorized to amend its agreement with Ogletree Deakins to increase the not-to-exceed amount to \$334,000 for representation in Scherer v. BART.